IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS Springfield Division

KEVIN COLLINS 57 Central Street Turners Falls, MA 01376

Plaintiff

vs.

SPRINGFIELD TERMINAL RAILWAY COMPANY Iron Horse Park North Billerica, MA 01862

and

BOSTON & MAINE CORPORATION Iron Horse Park North Billerica, MA 01862

Defendants

DUCALTED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MLING FEE PAID:

AMOUNT \$______BY DPTY CLK_______.
DATE______

05-30139-KPN

CIVIL ACTION

NO.

- 1. The Plaintiff, Kevin Collins, is an adult individual residing at 57 Central Street, Turners Falls, Massachusetts 01376.
- 2. The Defendant, Springfield Terminal Railway Company is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Massachusetts, whose principal place of business and address for service of process is Iron Horse Park, North Billerica, Massachusetts O1862.
- 3. The Defendant, Boston & Maine Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Massachusetts, whose principal place of business and address for service of process is Iron Horse Park, North Billerica, Massachusetts 01862.

5t, Mag/Gredge

01

- 4. This suit is brought pursuant to an Act of Congress known as the Federal Employers' Liability Act, 45 U.S.C. §§ 51-60; The Federal Safety Appliance Act, 45 U.S.C. §§1-16; and the Boiler Inspection Act, 45 U.S.C. §§22-34.
- 5. At all times hereto, the Defendant, Springfield Terminal Railway Company, was engaged in interstate commerce as a common carrier by railroad operating a line and system of railroads in the State of Massachusetts and other states of the United States.
- 6. At all times hereto, the Defendant, Boston & Maine Corporation, was engaged in interstate commerce as a common carrier by railroad operating a line and system of railroads in the State of Massachusetts and other states of the United States.
- 7. At the time and place hereinafter mentioned, the acts of omission and commission causing injuries to the Plaintiff were done by the Defendants, their agents, servants, workmen and/or employees acting in the course and scope of their employment with and under the direct and exclusive control of the Defendants.
- 8. At the time and place hereinafter mentioned, the Plaintiff was employed by the Defendants and was acting in the scope of his employment by the Defendants and was engaged in the furtherance of interstate commerce within the meaning of said Act.
- 9. The injuries and disability of Plaintiff while working as an employee of the Defendants was caused by his exposure to toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases, including but not limited to asbestos.
- 10. All the property, equipment and operations involved in this occurrence hereinafter referred to were owned and/or under the direct and exclusive control of the Defendants, their agents, servants, workmen and/or employees.

Ц

- 11. Plaintiff's exposure began in or around August 1972 and continues through and including the present, while Plaintiff is working as a laborer in and around East Deerfield, Massachusetts.
 - 12. Plaintiff's illness was diagnosed as asbestosis on or about August 12, 2002.
- 13. Plaintiff first discovered that he had sustained asbestosis from exposure to toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases, including but not limited to asbestos, on or about August 12, 2002.
- Plaintiff's asbestosis was caused and/or contributed by in whole or in part by the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of the Defendants, generally and more specifically as follows:
 - a) In failing to exercise reasonable care to adequately warn Plaintiff of the risks, dangers and harm to which he was exposed in working with, touching or inhaling toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases, including but not limited to asbestos.
 - In failing to provide the Plaintiff with reasonably safe and sufficient personal safety apparel and equipment including but not limited to respirators as was necessary to protect him from being injured, poisoned, disabled, killed or otherwise harmed, by working with, using, handling and/or coming in contact with and being exposed to toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases, including but not limited to asbestos.
 - c) In failing to provide Plaintiff with a reasonably safe place in which to work.

- d) In failing to minimize or eliminate Plaintiff's exposure to toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases, including but not limited to asbestos, by providing ventilating and exhaust fans, dampening or wetting procedures and other recommended and available procedures.
- e) In failing to conduct any tests to determine the presence and/or amount of toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases, including but not limited to asbestos, in and around the Plaintiff's workplace.
- In failing to transfer Plaintiff from workplaces where he had been exposed to toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases, including but not limited to asbestos, to other employment with no such or lesser exposure.
- In failing to conduct physical examinations of Plaintiff of such quality as to detect any effects of toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases, including but not limited to asbestos, so that its employees, such as Plaintiff, could be advised as to the dangers of such exposure so Plaintiff could take appropriate safety measures.
- h) In failing to issue and enforce appropriate safety rules limiting or eliminating exposure to toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases, including but not limited to asbestos.
- i) In failing to obey appropriate and applicable federal and state regulations and industrial hygiene recommendations intended to protect Plaintiff from exposure to toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases,

including but not limited to asbestos.

- 15. As a direct and proximate result, in whole or part of one or more of the foregoing negligent or unlawful acts on the part of the Defendants, Plaintiff suffered exposure to toxic and/or pathogenic dusts, fumes, vapors, mists or gases, including but not limited to asbestos, which caused him to sustain a severe injury to his body and respiratory system.
- 16. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered pain, nervousness and mental anguish and has been obliged to spend various sums of money to treat his diseases and injuries, sustained a loss of earnings and earning capacity, and his enjoyment of life has been greatly impaired.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants in an amount in excess of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00), together with costs and interest thereon.

Dated: 6/14/15

THOMAS J. JOYCE, III, ESQUIRE

The Public Ledger Building - Suite 1000

150 S. Independence Mall West

Philadelphia, PA 19106

HANNON & LOYCE

(888) 222-3352

Attorney for Plaintiff

LAWSON & WEITZEN, LLP

Dated: 6/16/15

MICHAEL J. MCDEVITT, BBO #564720

88 Black Falcon Avenue, Suite 345

Boston, MA 02210

(617) 439-4990

Local Counsel for Plaintiff

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

-	Kevin Colling 57 Central St Turners Falls	s treet s, MA 01376 Franklin	DEFENDANTS Springfield Terminal Railway () Iron Horse Park North Billerica, MA 01862 (see attache (IN U.S. PLAINTEF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) HANNON & JOYCE The Public Ledger Bidg 150 S. Independence Philadelphia, PA 1910 (215) 446-4460 Attorney for Plaintiff	- Ste. 1000 88 Black F. Suite 345 Boston, M. (617) 439 Local Coun		Q	Attorneys (If K	nown) 0 1 3 9	- KPN		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an ")	K" in One Box Only)	пі. Сіті	ZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity	on 1 ment Not a Party) 1 zenship of Parties	Citizen	versity Cases On ty)	DEF I I I Incorporated or of Business I 2	and One Box for De fendant) DEF Principal Place 4 4 4 In This State		
	m tem my		Citizen	or Subject of a				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		gn Country				
CONTRACT	T	ORTS	FORFE	ITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
## En forcement of 1948Methicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Force losure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assa ult, Libet & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Martine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommod ations 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights E AN "X" IN ONE BC	Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 317 Truth in Lending 180 Other Personal Property Damage 1385 Property Damage Froduct Liability PRISONER PETITIC 510 Motions to Va cate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	TY 610 620 620 620 620 620 620 640 650 660 670 710 670 730 670 740 671 790 671 791 671 791 671 791 671 791 671 791 671 791 671 791 671 6	Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Scizure of Propeny 21 USC Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trad emark 840 Trad emark 861 H IA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIW C/DIW W (405 (g)) 864 SED 1786 VSTD 1786	400 State Reap portionment 410 Antitust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fce Determine Figure 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☑ Original ☐ 2 Re	emoved from 3 atte Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	Reopene	ed or \Box 5 (specified	erred from r district y) 6 Multidistr Litigation	Appeal to District Judge from ict		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Do not cite jurisd iction	tute under which you are fili nal statutes unless diversity.)						
Federal Emplo	yers Liabilit	y Act 45 U.S	.C. §§5	1-60 et se	1 •			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.	S IS A CLASS ACTION P. 23	N DEMA	ND \$ 150,000	.00 CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	If demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(S) instructions):	rubor E.	\mathcal{A}		DOCKET NUMBER			
4/16/05		SIGNATURE OF ATT	RNEY OF RE	CORD				
RECEIPT # AI	MOUN	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUDO	GE		

365970

Case 3:05-cv-30139-KPN Document 1-3 Filed 06/17/2005 Page 7 of 8

ELECTION .

Additional Defendant:

Boston & Maine Corporation Iron Horse Park North Billerica, MA 01862

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

			·							lway Co
Catego	ry in whic	n the case belongs ba	sed upon the	numbered natur	e of suit code	listed or	the civi	cover she	et. (See	
	ıle 40.1(a)(110	1-34	
	í.	160, 410, 470, R.23,	REGARDLES	S OF NATURE O	F SUIT.					
	H.	195, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820*,	441-444, 540 , 830*, 840*, 8	, 550, 555, 625, 350, 890, 892-89	710, 720, 730, 4, 895, 950.	*Al	so comp r patent,	lete AO 126 trademark	or AO 121 or copyright c	ases
X	111.	110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891.								·
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865, 8			640, 650, 660,					-
	V.	150, 152, 153.	·		05 -	- 3	0	13	9 -	KP
Title and this dist	d number, trict pleas	if any, of related case e indicate the title and	es. (See local riumber of th	rule 40.1(g)). If e first filed case	more than one in this court.	prior re	lated cas	e has been	filed in	
Has a p	rior action	between the same pa	arties and bas	ed on the same	claim ever hee	n filed i	n this co	ut?		
•					YES		NO	X	1 - 1	
Does th	e complai	nt in this case question	n the constitu	tionality of an ac		—— affecting			(See 5	`
28 USC	§2403)									
If so, is:	the U.S.A	or an officer, agent or	emplavos of	thall same	YES	Ш	NO	X		
50, 13	J.U.A.	or our ourser, agent or	embonee or	me o.s. a party		П		<u> </u>		-
					YES		NO	X	<u></u>	+ * -
ls this c	ase requir	ed to be heard and de	termined by a	a district court o	f three judges p	oursuani	to title 2	8 USC §22	34?	***
					YES		NO	X		
Do <u>all</u> of Massact 40.1(d)).	husetts ("	s in this action, exclu governmental agencie	uding governi es"), residing	nental agencies in Massachuse	of the united s ts reside in the	tates and same o	d the Cor livision?	nmonwealt - (See Loc	h of al Rule	
	Δ	If you in which divis-		44	YES		NO	X		
	Α.	If yes, in which divis	sion do <u>all</u> of	the non-governr Central Divisi			Nestern 1	Niviei o =		
	В.	If no, in which division		ority of the plair					nental	
		Eastern Division		Central Divisi	on 🔲	,	Nestern I	Division	X	
lf filing a	a Notice of omit a sepa	Removal - are there a	ny motions p the motions)	ending in the sta	te court requiri	ng the a	ttention o	of this Cou	t? (If	
•					YES		NO			
E TYPE C	OR PRINT)									
E TYPE O	ME Mic	hael J. McDev							·	

(Coversheetlocal.wpd - 10/17/02)